

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**No. 24-3164****September Term, 2024****1:23-cr-00341-RBW-1****Filed On:** January 17, 2025

United States of America,

Appellee

v.

Anna Lichnowski,

Appellant

**BEFORE:** Millett, Wilkins, and Rao, Circuit Judges

**ORDER**

Upon consideration of the motion for release pending appeal, the response thereto, and the reply, it is

**ORDERED** that the motion be denied. Appellant has not shown that this appeal presents substantial questions of law or fact likely to result in reversal, an order for a new trial, a sentence that does not include a term of imprisonment, or a reduced sentence to a term of imprisonment less than the total of the time already served plus the expected duration of the appeal process. See 18 U.S.C. § 3143(b)(1); United States v. Perholtz, 836 F.2d 554, 555 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (per curiam); see also Griffin v. United States, 119 F.4th 1001, 1004 (D.C. Cir. 2024) (conviction under 18 U.S.C. § 1752(a)(1) does not require government to establish that defendant knew reason for restriction); July 10, 2024 Trial Tr. at 120 (finding that, even if the government were required to prove appellant's knowledge of the reason for the Capitol's restricted status, it "proved beyond a reasonable doubt her knowledge in that regard").

**Per Curiam**

**FOR THE COURT:**

Clifton B. Cislak, Clerk

BY: /s/

Selena R. Gancasz  
Deputy Clerk